SUMMONS ISSUED STERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

TODD C. BANK.

Plaintiff,

-against-

ASSET ACCEPTANCE CAPITAL CORPORATION.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR N

Action No.

MAY 3 1 2011 🖈

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. This action arises under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"). Plaintiff seeks statutory damages, attorney's fees, and costs.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

GLEESON, J. CARTER, M.J.

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 13 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Todd C. Bank ("Bank"), is, and was at all relevant times herein, a resident of this District.
- 5. Defendant, Asset Acceptance Capital Corporation ("Asset Acceptance"), is, and was at all relevant times herein, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, and maintains its principal executive office at 28405 Van Dyke Avenue, Warren, MI 48093.

### **FACTS**

- 6. Asset Acceptance is, and was at all relevant times herein, a "debt collector" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
  - 7. On or about April 26, 2011, Asset Acceptance placed a telephone call to Bank.

- 8. Although Bank's answering device was operational, Asset Acceptance did not leave a message.
  - 9. On or about April 27, 2011, Asset Acceptance placed a telephone call to Bank.
- 10. When Bank answered his telephone, a person who identified herself as "Kelly Bell" asked for a person unknown to Plaintiff.
- 11. Each of Asset Acceptance's calls to Bank concerned a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 12. In each of Asset Acceptance's calls, Caller ID information appeared on Plaintiff's telephone with the name "Asset Acceptance" and the telephone number 757-209-2019.
- 13. Each call that Asset Acceptance made to Bank constituted a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(2).
- 14. Each of Asset Acceptance's calls were made in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 15. Asset Acceptance had called the wrong number in each of he aforementioned instances.
- 16. No one had given prior consent directly to Asset Acceptance to communicate with Bank.
- 17. Asset Acceptance had not obtained, from a court of competent jurisdiction, the express permission to call Bank.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Asset Acceptance's calls to Bank were not reasonably necessary to effectuate a post-judgment judicial remedy.
- 19. At no time did Asset Acceptance represent that it was confirming or correcting location information concerning a consumer.

- 20. Asset Acceptance never provided meaningful disclosure of Asset Acceptance's identity.
- 21. Asset Acceptance never stated that Asset Acceptance was attempting to collect a debt and that any information obtained would be used for that purpose.
  - 22. Asset Acceptance never stated that Asset Acceptance is a debt collector.
- 23. To the least sophisticated consumer, the natural consequence of the receipt of Asset Acceptance's calls would have been to feel harassed, oppressed, or abused.

# **COUNT I**

# VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b)

- 24. Bank repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 25. Each of Asset Acceptance's calls violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).
- 26. As a result of the foregoing, Bank is entitled to damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

## **COUNT II**

# VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692d

- 27. Bank repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 28. Each of Asset Acceptance's telephone calls to Bank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.
- 29. As a result of the foregoing, Bank is entitled to damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

### **COUNT III**

30. Bank repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding

paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 31. Each of Asset Acceptance's calls violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6).
- 32. As a result of the foregoing, Bank is entitled to damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

## **COUNT IV**

## **VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11)**

- 33. Bank repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 34. Each of Asset Acceptance's calls violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).
- 35. As a result of the foregoing, Bank is entitled to damages as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a).

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) Damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a), in the amount of \$1,000.00; and
- (b) Costs, disbursements, and reasonable attorney's fees, and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: May 25, 2011

Yours, etc.,

ALBERT RUDGAYZER

305 Broadway

Suite 501

New York, New York 10007

(212) 260-5650

AR-4335

Counsel to Plaintiff